UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/522,075	05/12/2005	Jean-Christopher Duclos	072691-015	7598			
33401 MCDERMOT	7590 10/16/2009 T WILL & EMERY LLP		EXAM	UNER			
	RY PARK EAST	PLUMMER, ELIZABETH A					
38th Floor LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90067-3208		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3635				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			10/16/2009	EI ECTRONIC			

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

uspto33401@mwe.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/522,075	DUCLOS ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
ELIZABETH A. PLUMMER	3635						

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

IHE	REPLY FILED 22 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term ediplication.

### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a)  ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🔀 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5-7 and 9-16.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.		The	affi	davit	or ot	her e	vider	nce	filed	afte	rat	final a	ction	, but	before	or on	the da	ite c	f filir	ng a N	Notice	of Ap	peal wi	ll <u>nc</u>	t be e	entere	d
														and	sufficie	ent rea	sons 1	why	the	affida	vit or	other	evidend	e is	nece	essary	and
		was	not	earli	er pre	esent	ed.	See	37	CFR	1.1	16(e)	).														
-	$\overline{}$									-																	

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \( \sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
  See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other:

/Jeanette E Chapman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3633 Continuation of 3, NOTE: The limitation of having the second hard material being harder and less ductile than the first material has not been previously presented..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: arguments are not persuasive and rely on limitations that have not been added to the claims.